| Automatic Tank Gauging (ATG) | | | | | | | | | | | Page 5b | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| ha | ITOMATIC TANK GAUGING may be used as a mage solution of the street and passed EPA protocolutection devices that have been third party tested | ol. The Natio | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | cility Name | | Facility ID# | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ma | ake of ATG (Required): | Model of | ATG | (Re | quir | ed): | : | | | | | | | | | | |
| US | T Information: If a question does not apply, leave it | t blank. | MT | TAN | IK# | MT | TAN | K # | MT 1 | AN | K # | MT 1 | ANK | # 1 | /IT T | AN | K # |
| 1 | Is the ATG used as the primary method of tank leak of | letection? | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | |
| 2 | the console box operational (turned on, equipped with roll aper)? | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | |
| 3 | Are monthly 0.2 gph leak tests conducted? | | | YES NO | | YES NO | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | |
| 4 | re passing leak detection records available for the past twelve nonths? | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | | YES NO | | |
| 5 | question # 4 is marked "NO," circle the months in which assing 0.2 gph leak test are NOT available. | | 1 4 7 | 2 5 8 | 3 6 9 | 1 4 7 | 2 5 8 | 3 6 9 | 1 4 7 | 2 5 8 | 3 6 9 | 1 4 7 | 5 | 6 | 1 4 7 | 2 5 8 | 3 6 9 |
| | 1 = January omments: | | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (Inspector Initial) (Date) | | | (1 | Owne | er/Ope | erator | Initis | al) | | | | | | (Date | ÷) | |
| | (Date) | | | , | | opc | | | , | | | | | | , | - / | |